

OpenTheo

Cosmic Chemistry: Do Science and God Mix? | Dr. John Lennox

January 19, 2021



The Veritas Forum

Oxford Professor Emeritus John Lennox, Mathematician and Philosopher of Science presents his reasoning for the link between science and belief in God. Presented from the stage at the Claremont Center for Reason, Religion, and Public Affairs. • Like, share, review, and subscribe to this podcast. Thank you.

Transcript

Welcome to the Veritas Forum. This is the Veritas Forum Podcast. A place where ideas and beliefs converge.

What I'm really going to be watching is which one has the resources in their worldview to be tolerant, respectful, and humble toward the people they disagree with. How do we know whether the lives that we're living are meaningful? If energy, light, gravity, and consciousness are in history, don't be surprised if you're going to get an element of this in God. Today's episode comes from the stage at the Claremont Center for Reason, Religion, and Public Affairs.

A lecture by Oxford Professor Emeritus, mathematician John Lennox, as he presents his reasoning for the link between science and belief in God. My biography in green means that I come from a very small country for left at a period of extreme sectarian violence, and my parents were very unusual in that they were christened without being sectarian, and the bombs for that stance. My father ran a store, and he tried to employ equally Catholics and Protestants, and for that reason he was bound to my brother's nearly killed.

But he did that because he believed that every man and woman, no matter what they believed, was made an image of God and therefore infinitely valuable. And that's something that I got from my parents and has accompanied me all through my life. The second thing that they did, which was perhaps even more unusual in a country where there was a lot of religious prejudice, the bigotry, they allowed me to think and

encouraged me to read very widely, including worldviews of what my own.

And as a boy I got very interested in the big questions of life, and the various answers that were offered, and I went to Cambridge in 1962 just in time to hear CS news from what you may have heard. And almost immediately got involved in serious discussion, because in Ireland you'd make promise and atheists and have to get this, but there weren't many real atheists. And in Cambridge I got the opportunity to befriend and I emphasized that word.

People that didn't share my worldview, and I've been doing it for a lifetime. Because I'm interested in the truth, and I won't understand about this world in which we live, and the significance, and what our place in it is. So I spent a lot of time traveling around the world, and in particular during the Cold War, I spent a lot of time behind the Iron Curtain, and then when the world fell, I'd been a lot in Russia and Ukraine.

Because I've been very interested in study cultures where atheism had a profound integral part of the culture. Because one of the things that will come up tonight is the whole question of worldview, and there aren't very many families of worldviews in fact. You've got atheism on the one side, believing there's no god, theism on the other side believing there is a god, and in the middle of pantheism, it's the means that there is a god, but god of the universe tend to be merged into one impersonal being.

Those people sit somewhere in there, even if they've got a strong degree of skepticism. And so what we're going to do is have a look at the whole question that I call cosmic chemistry, due science of god mix, but there's a rather provocative subtitle because we need to ask this question the other way around, do science and atheism really mix? The popular impression here is that science and god do not mix and science and atheism mix so perfectly that really atheism is the proper background for intellectual endeavor. And I want to challenge that, but I want to share with you, because this is a vast topic, are some of the things that I feel are important to think about.

This is a kind of preliminary, so it would be unsatisfactory, but if it prompts some of you and stimulates you to do your own thinking, then I should be very encouraged. I want to look forward immensely to your questions. So let's start over the observation of one of the most brilliant American philosophers, still alive, they have them planting up.

The next conflict between science and atheism is superficial. There is real Concord. The next Concord between science and atheism is superficial.

There is real conflict. I'm always been interested in serious history. I work for a while with Professor John had the group that Oxford, the Oxford professor of science religion, who was, said, is a brilliant historian of science.

He taught me the way to go about these things is first to see them in their historical

context and then look at the philosophical details. So if we follow that and have a look at some of the pioneers of modern science, we can think of the obvious names Galileo, Kepler, Newton, and Maxwell. And of course, as a mathematician, I have to tell her, instead of the T.F.A.M. of all investigations of the external world should be to discover the rational order which has been imposed on it by God and which he revealed to us in the language of mathematics.

And it's very interesting that you study these pioneers to see what their worldview was. One of the most interesting studies has been done by the historian, Rodney Stark, and he lists 52 stars of modern science, starting with the publication of Copernicus's book in 1543. And dealing with scientists, all of whom were born before 1680.

And looking at that list, he discovers that 60% of them were devout believers, Christian believers. 38% were conventional Christian believers. And one of them, Edmund Halley, of Halley's comet, was a skeptic, and an atheist, and because he was an atheist, Oxford would not give him a chair.

So you can see that there's a very strong background of Christian belief behind the rise of modern science. That is extremely important because philosophers and historians of science are in a state that there's a connection. And that is a lovely quote you never have in this person, but I won't drag you through some changes.

And the rise of the reason he's the only Irish man who ever wanted to know about bias. And these were the other ones that helped us see that the superhero series of new prizes. One might remind the mind of the Creator in fact.

This is certainly his creation. We must pick up the conflict with the study of his work of art, a base spirit of pride to all realms of human thought. A refusal to use our intelligence policy is a tactic to help them to give us the solution.

The new way of bias, what our purpose is, was that the neighbor is one, not in the six-day century, not in the past years. Our work of presence is very clear, and it's a fight of science. But this is a very important fight.

I was confused by the two of science, and the fact is that that was the two of science. We can watch and see all scientists with order to do their science have to help us not involve the international intelligence ability of the universe. And one of the main points I want to thank you to make is everyone.

But when an exception is a person of faith, they have basic faith and that they believe certain places. And these who can be really strong are the broads of those things. I look at the list as somebody who wants my chance to work, and we can have scientific and post-expectable nature, and they expect to go back to the cause they believe in the righteous nature.

That gives us strong connection with world-being, the reason that it is created. But we ought to be able to do so. Because our minds are fractionally made in the image of that ability to create a decent part, we can unlock some open-mistation in the request.

And that makes no point in point any point that our voice and our voice and our science and community is a place to take. But people are not happy with that, because we don't use our conflict somewhere to work the sex we write, there is a real conflict. And the real conflict is not the same science to bother.

It's between world-being, maybe even the worst, particularly the war-in-use of peace and conflict is a clear concept that is compatible. But the point to the race based on science is a force-based effect. But if I would be a conflict between the science and culture, think about it as a human, don't start to create a system that was assumed to be able to get back to the cause.

And all that has been made from the world to the universe. Stephen Hawking, the next state of Hawking, occupied with fair coverage, probably not created with us, the narrative geniuses. One of the science systems that I would advise of is fair coverage.

And it's very important that we don't stand what's going on in the culture of the universe. It's not thought of the one's side. And science, the other side, is eight years of the one side and three years of real society.

And there's scientists of both sides. And so the real question to make that is, for the science system, doesn't point towards the essence or does it point towards the broader essence. But when courts go through, it is such a one's relative action, a science of modern war, that I won't erase a series of questions as to what fuels that conflict.

Why is it we've got to boost it to action? But the problem of digital things, such as work, early in time, helps to use statements by scientists are not necessarily statements or ideas. And all of a sudden begins and just elevations, theories. But when statements move around like this, the cause losses, all the reasonable walls of every child be, of the statement by scientists, some statement by scientists are interested in the cause for the topic of police.

But the trouble is that scientists are contemporary world. They have such authority that any scientist who makes a statement, people tend to play the philosophy of authority and science behind it. And we need to learn to be speech.

And the ready by the way, what we want to do about those criticisms make a model statement that we need to listen to. I believe that the scientists, maybe the problems already have been the use of double-to-max television. I never tried to read it.

Because you see, I ended up talking about how to realize that already. I didn't have to say that in order to have a dialogue and help it, we thought it was a side of our fields.

But there are certain things we've got to do with these elements.

Everybody has to do that, otherwise I'm pretty bad at it. But we don't check if I move outside my field and make a statement, then everybody checks that it's not so conservative authority. And I want to illustrate this, but I don't have the ability to focus on one position since it's that sudden.

The world's leadership is a psychiatric term. I will not first write the book. I've read it many times in several languages, in order to make a picture.

I notice the world's leadership is a place I can't read the literature because it's not a psychiatrist. That's very important to realize that it's making a statement that bothers the illusion. And I want to take back the same person I want to write that I just like to read what's a psychiatrist.

I have to remember that we've had the physical world and it's the campus time after certain steps. Because we've got films and television books and books and things like more ideas. We have to decide to take the logical and the media logical strategies of the connection to correlation between belief and knowledge in the world.

That's very interesting because don't be just failing to be a kind of post when you see things. Many people will quite find very actively a more right to be discovered that this work will not just sign to the control of the schools of the bottom. Similarly, Stephen Lofolke was the state of the fight of brilliant status.

He was just another three of the great groups of overseas political organizations. And he said he was one of the leaders of the political intelligence. And he said religion was a very historic proposal for him.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.
And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.
And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.
And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.
And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.
And he said he was a very historic person.

And he said he was a very historic person. And he said he was a very historic person.
And he said he was a very historic person.

And when you say I believe that, so I have faith in that. You have the right to read this to
say all the varieties. I don't think you've no grind for this type of thing.

And the most faithless faith all the time is people have to read the fine faithless type that
people wanted to watch for a dictionary. They've not even written more than more than
this. And we have to watch it bring utter confusion and leads to a statement, a
statement of historians.

Our beliefs are made of a list of those those. And we really can get back to the fact that
faith will be repeated the same everyday word that we trust. And we all know what
everything space faces to see the actual price.

We totally could test the numbers. And it took a long time for trust to develop up in the
market. So we need to get rid of this idea that faith is some vague sort of concept and a
thing that is believed in whether or not it's been written in the end.

It's an ordinary word. And of course, you get into the public science uses racism and
crime. And it's an absolute problem.

And being believed in this place of evidence is a principle right of the community
religion. Well, in a relationship space of themselves, then it is the principle right of the
country religion. So we will not predict these things when you see things like I said, but
what we did before you were confident for violence and belief in receiving from violence
and violence and violence for violence and violence for violence.

And the government is that this word is used in various contexts. It's used as a substitute
for religion, a question, a question, a question, a question, a question, a question, a
question, a question, a question, a question. But it's also used as a subject to this

substance and a bad company, a second.

So, science involves violence and those every area of life. And if you don't believe science, the most favorite I would understand, look at how I like it, but I'd rather proceed with my general status to their present state. People thought that it would be rational to have a good opportunity for the university of the universe.

We've got to do any business or plans. We like believing in the first place of the country. I want you, there's no reason to do it.

It's very important to see what else is the most ethical part. Now, science, of course, came to have a good space in that substance, right? But when you speak as a Christian, you can speak, I'm going to be trained to be at the end of a state's state. It would seem that very easily from the state that we have to work out for.

Jesus did many other things to prepare himself in the samples that are not written in this book. But these are written so that you may believe science, semi-woman, white, and painter and indicator. And John Litt's son has four of those on which faith can be based, so did you, like, believe that Jesus is a part of science and a part of, I believe, and a part of science.

Science, which takes place with evidence based, personally, and it became the state's substance. It not serves no difference except of course, but it's just perfect. And it's found even the way of this.

You've got too much faith in science. How do I remember that? I'm using science in the ongoing interaction with human natural sciences. If I was speaking, because I was asked to make a general audience, I need to be careful because in general, the word "wistenshaft" means "an actual sciences" and the humanities.

And it's a concept of a rational thought that will stop. And that's part of the confusion in the annual discussion. That people will use science when they mean "raw actual thought" when they come to life.

But science isn't. And it's the idea that science is the only way to fit. After Russell, for the first time, whatever knowledge there's available, must be a kind of science and it's backwards.

And what science can let us cover real time and care about? Oh, that's already pretty. It's not state-level state full of science. It's a state-level-body belief.

So you cannot know what the science can do for a different sort of a discourse. So it's largely in the old era. Russell was quite a part of the application which is what it was that we could not see.

It's really, but it's not made of the help. It's currently a rational thought is the only way to fit. That's the very difference between the natural sciences and what it was about the other world.

And I'm going to leave first and to point, because we need to ask what the real world state can do for us. Everything is expected to be a purely natural purpose. Secondly, that was part of the previous quote, so I just spoke of the answer.

First and the other, first and foremost, as I said, that should be abandoned only in place for types of defined, rational, and actual, and actual. So I would have to have a very carefully silenced or handled equals rational types of ideas. But once you take it to class, it's unique for natural sciences because history is a rational discipline.

It also leads to the rational discipline. I'm going to show you a little bit about the language of literature and the role of the environmental science. The natural sciences, the only way to test the application of this is that.

And I don't think you want to do that because I think you believe you're engaged in rational activities. That's very important to get along with that. So do the matter of all the very general, the best part is the sciences.

And these are the existence of the mental sciences made to your own ability to have your children like your own every person. How did you do your first and last things? How did everything begin? What are we all here for and what is the point of living? We will go to say that when we get into these questions, do the future of the most creative and spiritual challenges? Is you write every science and science I believe is your free system of the political science? You're into science and it says that the natural science is so limited. And now we come to the very first part of the science.

I'll see them all in a little bit more. And I was intrigued by those things. Because we start to offer this wisdom to questions.

I will understand the world of working in Congress now. I will see you at a very close of nature, and I will see you at a very close of all of them. I know we insist all this kind of stuff.

And if working things with science, that I'm sure all of things will go, we are all concerned about how many of those states science can be in the future. It's a good one if you mean the natural science. That's the very whole thing.

And I would have come to that in a moment, but I'll tell you something about working on the second question. I will see you at a very close of science. And one of the statements in the book is this, are you the rest of the political science with the scope of your ideas for support? And if the author exists in little room for all the questions, that is not the right way and raises the actual question of why it is not way.

But the staggering relatively big screen page can be considered as a running for all the future. And only, at least some of the facts of the old ideas of this from designers and workers and brands designer, others who have helped out their own science are universities, and they will have done each with different laws. So notice that these are the rest.

Because the book the brand designed still keeps seeing. It percities design. And the expensive of writing.

By the pre-existing of the old papers, the police noticed that that would not argue about the old idea. That's because the US knows what's called chronological smelper. The old is wrong, but I know, so I must be wrong.

And this is where they actually, to suggest that the knowledge of the use of the world, this is not the answer of modern science, no, it's not the answer of some modern scientists. Other science doesn't have a new requirement to discuss it. There is a new atmosphere, and it's so fun.

But let me go stop and read it, let me know about the science. The stronger they leave those theory in the past, a universe may have another, but the science finds to me which is not to be provided, but it's just one might stand under that supernatural plan. Our own concepts and discoveries of microwave are going to be on block of evidence, or the idea of what they're given to space science and to reverse.

So science, more of a stuff like a clear, is just to be a really helpful topic. Science contains certain pointers. Like those sciences do not contain any parts of the medical cells, but we include that body because we get bigger stress.

But in the science, as you get quite close to me, it has been very strong. That could be very helpful. I've been married to several of the first couple years.

I dropped her to Iraq by the clear, but it's safe that I don't. Because I think there's enough evidence to believe. You see that? So that would have, even though it's not that type of proof that we swallow up the risk of your life.

You do that every time you get into a report or a hard pass. It's caused these parameters of evidence into it. So we're willing to use the left kind of proof.

So what I want to do is to come back to all these good questions. So when I write them, of course, all those sorts of questions, I thought this would be fascinating to read how the answers that again taught us the great left hand. The question makes them so brief.

These are questions for all of us today. But for all of us now, all of us now get up with knowledge about the science, which is the best. Science is the place where there are some reports that just by very hard-paced models, and that the last statement will be

the science is the conceptual.

Science is the only way to turn. So many of us have been registered to start to learn to explain to us to be a student in a book which is all about the class of the science, which is the very curious of these. And of course, the office of the papers to talk to the past, we were very close to this statement.

As you can imagine, it also be useful for us to be a student at maybe that's not really as far as hoping to know that the science is certainly there. So we're reading in the area where the issues of science right is right. And always a right side.

So we have a place to recognize the science is so close. And many scientists will learn as a student. And we have to hope another person is listening, but we don't realize they're going to get it.

But also we understand that the whole process is not as simple as the science. And just don't see it. So that was the first.

Those are the solutions that we've involved in law of gravitational, or the rules of the brain, aside from the whole time of our success. Other statement of hoping. Newton, believe in God, and hoping, and he feels.

And I just tell you that we have found some science that has left of the change, or is there more to be said. Well, I think there's a lot more to be said. The problem is, for example, post-project, post-ideas are very proud of post-ideas and brain explanations.

And I will define that there are all the many elements of post-project. Here is a simple statement about the breath inside. The possibility of all the light gravity, and the universe can have a real creative start.

So we'll talk about it. Because there is a law of gravity, the process of something, the universe will create a structure more than what's happened, and that's what it is. Because there is a law of light gravity, it is a statement that the gravity exists, but what would the law of gravity be? And how would it most exist? And if there is no material in the universe of which gravity happens to find out the landscapes, how would it look on the surface, that laws are created? That's it.

The laws of nature that we formulate not necessarily are just persons of what happens. But there are no sources, and they don't create anything. This is all the sources of motion never knew the visual goal of the state universe.

They describe the motion once somebody would achieve the process. And this is a huge conclusion. In addition, I also felt that winter after the day I spent, we tried never met a performer.

It was a physical purpose of the culture. And then what created the universe? These are properties that I have afraid of, like this, are all the words that I have. Because somebody started to laugh.

They believed that in Greece, they were being offered that when I said I had raised them, sorry, people were not just going to speak up or something like that. They said, well, it's a kind of a reputation. So we put it this way, two plus two, and one of our first four donors here, is the universe of the financial crisis, and some people are both the best and crazed ones.

You might have people like that who spoke crazy about the country. We think that they powerful over the system. They give laws to create the universe of socioeconomic.

But as we're still, the universe will create itself. So the effects, which I want to separate from this way, will make sure that the planet takes three aspects of the planet. But it brings you all the potential to see what's right for something.

We think that the state of the world is not even right, but it is just a big rational. It's not hard to decide if the universe is all right. That's serious and delicious.

But bringing people right themselves in order to get rid of God, not all their state of the state of the system, is that such a visual color. And I won't play with the book called "The State of the Walking" because he don't play with the book. He's been writing his car past the dark space.

And not from our same statement, all things changed. He was a first step and he started to appreciate the young man who had much more than his vision. And he wrote to me that he said, "The state of the walking is so straight from the old period." "Don't lie to the people in the state of the world." And the system of knowledge is not the country where he was right now.

He played second. And I won't cross that with the physical people. Here it goes, "The state of the public." Well, the physical people in the state of the world are still playing from ten years of physical.

But it's created by God, there is no problem. The public is stupid. And they use it out for the local faith and contemporary society to destroy the transcendental culture.

And they use access to the transcendental culture. Because we don't provide the position for not writing the book as a source of everybody. And I'm not as proud as this is one of the people that did this in the county of the state university.

And I read this book, "The University of Nothing" so with you now, from across it. Shouting about things he writes to his average of his vision in his folkmen especially in the history to find his absence of something. If people will re-under that, they'll be able

to make a list of different kinds of skills.

And that's where you're going with God, and maybe even without the force of possible music. And it bothers me that the next problem is the price of God. You see, many people might want to think that my God, different people, could go to other places, they'll go to other places, they'll go to other places, and they think that they're one of the five of the most effective people in the state.

But it was not to be said or said. But what we just say is God is not the goal that the God's like God. But the important thing is that I can understand that some of the things that are all about.

I'm talking, but I can't say you've got a choice between God and science, and I can understand that. And I realize that the God is talking about it, it is the time to be in publication with us. You see, if you can find God to be next to the nature for what science is not that explained, then you're out to teach the things that the science is no matter what it is.

And they usually point out, "But not to stand, but to look at the analysis, how does it begin to get involved in creating the bits of the universe they don't get from the start?" And that's right. We created the heavens and the earth that's what holed show, and it's a tremendous sound of a bit free don't understand. And the interesting thing about it, I use the water to boil it, while the Wall Street energy just has its own place and the water has its own place.

It's a wonderful place. It's a beautiful smell that's also added to the side of the light, but it's a nice, beautiful taste. And it has some very simple examples of it, because it shows the earth's extent, and how you can see it.

And it's right to the explanation of the water, and there's a personal, future, experience, they don't have things they don't have. They don't have things they don't have. I'm just a question of the purpose of us, that they just have to listen to us.

And you've got to look like it. You can see the power of the things in the world that beats the water that makes the place of the universe, but we're all a big, terrible, competitive people and therefore, as an exclamation, the water causes. It's the kind of things that we like to expect, and dolphins is wrong by the way of suggesting that the boat explanation is equivalent to the sand sectionation.

How does the Earth develop? The bulb has created the universe's abrines for any explanation whatsoever. It wouldn't have a universe to try to explain the bump in the mended with signs in the middle. So, there's a lot of stuff that makes the beach separating, but as the time is rapidly disappearing, and I want to just make a final effect.

Let's go back to the science, to science's face, and I'm from the city, having tried other

sciences. And I say, "What do you do science?" I do not believe the brain will be saved, but the brain will be saved, and the brain will be saved. I say, "What do you believe in the future of the planet?" So, I said, "Tell me the brain is true to the planet." I thought, let me say something like that.

The brain is in power to the planet, so the planet is true. I do not believe the planet. I do not believe the planet.

I do not believe the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet. I do not believe the planet is true to the planet.

Everything must be explained, thought about in terms of the physics of chemistry. I rather must be sure of what you're talking about in the lecture. So I say to them that the death family and the central state of the place they live, might be no one to take them, but I can't think of it.

Okay, our way of seeing that happens. There's a deal to think how we believe it. Are you explaining to me your reduction of this? I would like to be that part of its meaning in terms of the physics of chemistry.

[inaudible] If you have to post a line to explain that, even though very specific forces are so powerful, I remember what you were going to do with this, which is just a benefit, point four, billion electrons in the right order. It could take the reduction of materials, if you're listening. I will state that you have this.

And this is one of the reasons why I'm fascinated by the tenors of the kind, the blacklight, but it's brilliant. It talks about a world of space universe, creating the distant and distant paths, and what said, and what said, and so far. This is what I'm stopping, which I can't explain to you, and make, want to ask back to the department.

But the critical thing that's being explained is that our universe is not a fool's system, a cause of the fact, it's a little fool's system, at which there are two ways. There is a foundational input from the planet that goes. And we see that being set out of science, one of those who is rational to speak with, at much time of the world, besides seeing it, the world of science, is that, in the beginning, it will still work.

And the world will set down in the fourth or fourth. All things can be made to a planet. And what about them? Nothing can be made to a planet.

If something comes to exist, we always pass or evolve. We use the first-case-case, and we use the first-case-case. And what we thought would look for is that our space will extend, and I believe that the world based universe might be the most critical thing that we can think of, and how it's the big, big, big, big planet is.

But the world became human. And it will help us. That grace is the solution to this system.

And that might help to make the world so hands on God that we can help special, so that we can help things. Thank you very much, indeed. (Applause) Our president, Abigail, which is a happy state is more like a good option.

Well, we'd have to see back the stage with the record of the first-case. I have to have better education and language to be said, and I'm sure that we can never do this. But my belief in all the scientific and scientific systems is that the ground is open to several factors.

It's two million signs of science, and so many of you have to ask. But I would have to agree with the very, for example, to avoid the hard of things that's going to be just a rush to the fact that the life seems to be valuable to us, but there is so many years here. It is something that we can't avoid in creation.

But I might have to look at more of the reasons that I can't believe that the life is the best and the time behind the path to face the superior and the way to use my face and the hope of the private course of this, of course of this. There are things that can be brought to this table. But there is much to be heard by the fact that we will write some specific questions.

And what you are saying is so quite confused and here is a piece of thinking that's a vulnerable to attack and the same as if the watch is a good message to any of you. So I agree, that's not a good thing to know, but here is the other side. And that's a good thing to know.

I mean, you have to tell them this is the reason that you're dealing with the rules of the system. I don't know how they want to. Well, let me just tell you what our key is because I think that the quality of the nation is a good thing to know.

And the first thing is that we have to understand that we have to fight for the nation because we are going to fight for the state of California. I want to know what the justice is, but I do think that the government is here, and that's why we have to fight for the state of California. Because I agree with the fact that we have the state of California, we believe that they can be.

I am sure we're dealing with a life that truly feels about what it is, is the existence of its great leadership. And we should go off into the right places of the county, but we should fight for the state. And I think that this is natural, that this is a lot of the other countries that are going to be covered.

It's the explanation for life for us. We need to judge the system to make everything available to the state. At the White States, it helps the review force, and the open system that the state of California has.

Let's get a great work to recall the people who speak with over a thousand thousand, and how they describe the existence of life and the reason that only it's the evolution that I need only an existence of life, because of everything. So I can't explain what the existence is. So the path of the origin of life remains scientifically even harder than it did

in the late years, that we were hearing from the old white population that they couldn't control their white population and then they couldn't watch it, and that's what the system is.

So we don't have to separate those things because they're really important. Now, it's quite obvious that the path goes to explain something. Just look at this, it was true why we didn't have to understand the cause of the stereotype that I think is so selective for the most of us, the most of us, the most of us, the most of us, the most of us.

And I want to go into that. And the attention of myself is something I think it's about the purpose, so for the community, there is no competition. So it's like we heard that what Darwin has done, they have to answer.

We're going to do the studies of the history of the rabbit-ish-white people, that were always a big place. And you can get here in these sorts of ways and all these kinds of stuff. So, natural selection, leadership, community, and the importance of the history of the human being.

And the importance of the history of the human being. And it's about the history of the human being. And it's about the history of the human being.

And it's about the history of the human being. And it's about the history of the human being. And it's about the history of the human being.

And it's about the history of the human being. And the first thing we need to get out to is the team to be the best of counting the business and the world. And the arms of the planet is not quite a common thing.

But the origin of information is part of the theatre. And what else would be difficult for the most experienced population? Well, I would like to develop a special opportunity to help the people who share their pride with the people. And the people who are interested in their pride are going to be arguments of the spirit of information which we can create with the origin of their most important education.

And it's the question of a mind of the doubt that the world is the universal flag of the human being. And the answer to the mind of the doubt is the only explanation of the question of the living. That is what the modern people are looking for.

And that is what the world is looking for. And it's about the human being. And we can solve that the world really.

And we don't have to finish. A world here from I don't know if you have any feedback about this more. But it's just a little bit more.

And it's just a little bit more. And it's just a little bit more. You see? The wisdom of the

natural system is so strong.

It's not going to fail. I argue from the long existence of the creator of the president. What we can say in relation to whatever nature doesn't do, will be proud of it.

And in the generation of the creation of the world. So it's not the business, it's just the matter of the life that the world is going to have to be. So it's just a little bit more.

So we can say that the voices that we have, and the top part of this world is that we need to be more technically decided to do this. The point being that we need to be very careful. And we think that these mechanisms have still statistically the amount of money that we have here to give us.

That the instructions that we write with us to be able to tell us what the argument is. Or say that there was no discussion about it. People in history think that this is what was going to be going to be because the goal of this reality, or the status, perhaps, are really set out on our own.

But we believe in the reason that it's never as designed as a state of history. The people like us are powerful. They're actually rationalistic.

They're just a group of us. I think it's a state of history. But it's just a state of history.

I've always been super nice. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that.

I've always been a user of that. I've always been a user of that. I've watched people come to our private families out of all the past and broken relationships.

They need to tell us how you like to manage people, how you like to build a whole lot, how you like to build a lot. But the point is, I think that we want to make a decision again for a year of us. I think it's something different about you, what's happened.

And then say something I think, well, I've been doing the question. I've been experiencing both the different different ways that the state of broken relationships would not go. Instead of all the whole threats that's created in this case, we got the meaning of that.

Anybody's capable of having to get it more, it actually works. And that is one of the most important things that we can do. The Indian actually allows you to get it very important.

And then you ask yourself, "There is no different activity for us." But the most important thing about the world is, that we can actually work as a player. And then I think that the system is elsewhere. Please check it out for yourself.

[indistinct chatter]

[music] [indistinct chatter] If you like this and you want to hear more, like, share, review,

and subscribe to this podcast. And from all of us here at the Veritas Forum, thank you.

[music]

[BLANK_AUDIO]